

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/707,450	ANDO, YOSHIYUKI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Craig Miller	2857	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Craig Miller.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard D. Fuerle.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 April 2005

**Time:** 18:30

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*rejoined non-elected claims 33+*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*



**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner noted that now rejoined claims 33-36 lack patentably distinct features of claim 1, Applicant suggested including same into 33 and authorized an examiner's amendment making 33-36 dependent upon allowed claim 1.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)